

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
AUSTIN DIVISION

MAX WELLS,

PLAINTIFF,

vs.

SMITHKLINE BEECHAM
CORPORATION,

DEFENDANT.

FILED
2008 JUL 15 PM 4:05
CLERK US DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
BY *TM* DEPUTY

CAUSE NO. A-06-CA-126-LY

**DEFENDANT'S UNOPPOSED MOTION TO FILE UNDER SEAL MOTION TO
PRECLUDE PROPOSED EXPERT TESTIMONY PURSUANT TO FEDERAL
RULES OF EVIDENCE 702 AND 703 AND FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

TO THE HONORABLE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT:

Defendant SmithKline Beecham Corporation d/b/a GlaxoSmithKline (“GSK”), files this Unopposed Motion to File Under Seal its *Motion to Preclude Proposed Expert Testimony Pursuant to Federal Rules of Evidence 702 and 703 and for Summary Judgment* (“*Daubert/Havner Motion*”), and, in support, respectfully shows the Court as follows:

1. The *Daubert/Havner Motion* refers to deposition transcripts and other evidence that have been designated by one or more party as “Confidential” pursuant to the Court’s October 23, 2007 Confidentiality and Protective Order (“Protective Order”).
2. Pursuant to paragraph 14 of the Protective Order, documents identified by the parties as “Confidential” must be filed under seal. By separate motion, GSK has requested that certain depositions and documents referred to in its *Daubert/Havner Motion* be submitted under seal, and sealing the *Daubert/Havner Motion* itself is necessary to avoid disclosing information designated by one or more party as “Confidential.”

3. In accordance with the Protective Order, the *Daubert/Havner* Motion will be provided to the Court under separate cover and should be filed under seal.

4. Counsel for GSK has conferred with counsel for the Plaintiff and was informed that Plaintiff does not object to this request.

CONCLUSION AND PRAYER

For the foregoing reasons, Defendant SmithKline Beecham Corporation d/b/a GlaxoSmithKline requests that the Court order that *Defendant's Motion to Preclude Proposed Expert Testimony Pursuant to Federal Rules of Evidence 702 and 703 and for Summary Judgment*, be filed under seal and grant GSK all other further relief to which it is entitled

Dated: July 15, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI L.L.P.

Marcy Hogan Greer

Stephanie A. Smith

State Bar No. 18684200

Stacey A. Martinez

State Bar No. 13144575

Marcy Hogan Greer

State Bar No. 08417650

600 Congress Avenue, Suite 2400

Austin, Texas 78701-2978

Telephone: (512) 474-5201

Facsimile: (512) 536-4598

PHILLIPS LYTLE LLP

Cindy K. Bennes

Paul K. Stecker

Suite 3400, One HSBC Center

Buffalo, New York 14203

Telephone: (716) 847-8400

Facsimile: (716) 852-6100

*Counsel for Defendant SmithKline Beecham
Corporation d/b/a GlaxoSmithKline*

CERTIFICATE OF CONFERENCE

Counsel for Defendant SmithKline Beecham Corporation d/b/a GlaxoSmithKline conferred with counsel for Plaintiff on July 10, 2008, regarding *Defendant's Unopposed Motion to File Under Seal its Motion to Preclude Proposed Expert Testimony Pursuant to Federal Rules of Evidence 702 and 703 and for Summary Judgment*, and was informed that Plaintiff does not oppose the motion.

Marcy Hogan Greer
Marcy Hogan Greer

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 15th day of July, 2008, I filed the original and one copy of the foregoing with the Clerk of Court and served copies on the following counsel of record by first-class U.S. mail, postage prepaid:

Tom Thomas
Tom Alleman
WINSTEAD SECHREST & MINICK P.C.
5400 Renaissance Tower
1201 Elm Street
Dallas, Texas 75270

David Morris
WINSTEAD SECHREST & MINICK P.C.
401 Congress Avenue
Suite 2100
Austin, TX 78701

Counsel for Plaintiff

Marcy Hogan Greer
Marcy Hogan Greer

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
AUSTIN DIVISION

MAX WELLS, §
§
§
PLAINTIFF, §
§
§
vs. § CAUSE NO. A-06-CA-126-LY
§
§
SMITHKLINE BEECHAM §
CORPORATION, §
§
DEFENDANT. §
§

**ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO FILE MOTION TO PRECLUDE
PROPOSED EXPERT TESTIMONY PURSUANT TO FEDERAL RULES OF
EVIDENCE 702 AND 703 AND FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT UNDER SEAL**

Now before the Court is the Unopposed Motion of Defendant SmithKline Beecham Corporation d/b/a GlaxoSmithKline to File Under Seal its Motion to Preclude Proposed Expert Testimony Pursuant to Federal Rules of Evidence 702 and 703 and for Summary Judgment.

This Motion to File Under Seal is GRANTED.

The Clerk of the Court is ordered to file and maintain under seal Defendant SmithKline Beecham Corporation d/b/a GlaxoSmithKline's Motion to Preclude Proposed Expert Testimony Pursuant to Federal Rules of Evidence 702 and 703 and for Summary Judgment until further order of this Court.

SIGNED AND ENTERED this _____ day of _____, 2008.

LEE YEAKEL
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE